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## 1 Introduction

We saw in class how to reduce a spanning set to a basis by using row reduction. Thus, provided that we have a spanning set with finitely many vectors, we can find a basis for any subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The aim of this handout is twofold:

1. prove that every subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ can be spanned by finitely many vectors
2. prove that any two bases of a same subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ have the same number of vectors: we call it the dimension of the subspace.

## 2 Existence of bases

In this section we prove item 1. More precisely, we give an algorithm that finds a basis for any subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Lemma 2.1. A linearly independent set of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ cannot have more than $n$ vectors.

Proof. Let $\left\{v_{1}, \cdots, v_{m}\right\}$ be linearly independent and assume $m>n$. Then

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
v_{1} & \cdots & v_{m}
\end{array}\right]
$$

has more columns than rows, so when reducing

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll|c} 
& & & 0 \\
v_{1} & \cdots & v_{m} & \vdots \\
& & & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

to reduced row echelon form one necessarily gets at least one free variable (i.e. one column with no leading 1 , since we cannot have $m$ leading 1 because there are only $n$ rows). Thus, the above system has (infinitely many) non-zero solutions. This is in contradiction with the linear independence. So we have $m \leq n$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a subspace. Then there exists a basis for $S$ with at most $n$ vectors.

Proof. We first evacuate the case of the zero space. If $S=\{0\}$, then $B=\varnothing$ spans $S$ in the sense that 0 (the only vector in $S$ ) is the linear combination of nothing (the sum of nothing is 0 ). It is clearly linearly independent.

Now if $S \neq\{0\}$, pick a non-zero $v_{1} \in S$. Since $v_{1} \neq 0,\left\{v_{1}\right\}$ is linearly independent. If it spans $S$, it is a basis and we stop here. Otherwise we can find some $v_{2} \in S \backslash$ span $\left\{v_{1}\right\}$. Since $v_{2} \notin$ span $\left\{v_{1}\right\},\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ is linearly independent. If it spans S , it is a basis and we stop here. Otherwise we can find a $v_{3} \in S \backslash \operatorname{span}\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} \ldots$ In this while loop, $\left\{v_{1}, \cdots, v_{k}\right\}$ being linearly independent is a loop invariant, i.e. it is always true. Thus the algorithm has to stop before or at $n$ steps, thanks to Lemma 2.1

## 3 Dimension

Theorem 3.1. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a subspace. Any two bases for $S$ have the same number of vectors. We call this number the dimension of $S$, dim $S$.

Proof. Let $B=\left\{u_{1}, \cdots u_{r}\right\}$ and $C=\left\{v_{1}, \cdots v_{s}\right\}$ be bases for $S$. Assume without loss of generality that $r<s$. We look for a contradiction by exhibiting a linear dependance relation in $C$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+c_{s} v_{s}=0 \\
\Leftrightarrow & c_{1}\left(a_{11} u_{1}+\cdots+a_{1 r} u_{r}\right)+\cdots+c_{s}\left(a_{s 1} u_{1}+\cdots+a_{s r} u_{r}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for some family of scalars $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ since $B$ spans $S$. We regroup these terms along the $u_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+c_{s} v_{s}=0 \\
\Leftrightarrow & \left(a_{11} c_{1}+\cdots+a_{s 1} c_{s}\right) u_{1}+\cdots+\left(a_{1 r} c_{1}+\cdots+a_{s r} c_{s}\right) u_{r}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

And since $B$ is also linearly independent, the above equality happens if and only if each parentheses equals to zero:

$$
c_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+c_{s} v_{s}=0 \Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{11} c_{1}+\cdots+a_{s 1} c_{s}=0 \\
\vdots \\
a_{1 r} c_{1}+\cdots+a_{s r} c_{s}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

This is a system of linear equations with unknowns the $c_{i}$, moreover since $s>r$ it has more columns than rows, so there is necessarily a free variable and thus (infinitely many) non-zero solutions, i.e. non-trivial dependence relations in $C$. This is a contradiction with the fact that $C$ is linearly independent. Thus, $r \geq s$. Conversely by exhanging the role of $B$ and $C$ in the first place we get $s \geq r$, so that $s=r$.

Remark. In addition to Lemma 2.1 recalled below, here are a few good things to know that become clearer now:

- a linearly independent set in a subspace of dimension $k$ cannot have more than $k$ vectors
- a spanning set for a subspace of dimension $k$ cannot have less than $k$ vectors
- a linearly independent set cannot contain the 0 vector.

